



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,852	11/27/2006	Noboru Ichinose	PHKF-05004US	3677
	7590 10/30/200 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			WHALEN, DANIEL B	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA	22182-3817	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
115111111, 1112102 5017			4176	
				· .
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/589,852	ICHINOSE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Whalen	4176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 November 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/21/2006.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 4176

DETAILED ACTION

This action is a first Office action on the merits of Application Serial No.
 10/589,852. Currently, claims 1-8 are pending.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The references cited within the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted on 11/21/2006 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harwig et al. ("Electrical Properties of β-Ga₂O₃ Single Crystals. II," Journal of Solid State Chemistry Vol. 23, pages 205-211, 15 January 1978; hereinafter "Harwing").

Art Unit: 4176

4. **Regarding Claim 1**, Harwig teaches a method of controlling a conductivity of a Ga₂O₃ system single crystal, characterized in that:

Page 3

a desired resistivity is obtained by adding a predetermined dopant to the Ga_2O_3 system single crystal (page 205, introduction line 1-15, experimental line 1-13).

Regarding Claim 2-3, Harwig teaches that the predetermined dopant is a group IV element (Zr; also applies to claim 3), which decreases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal (page 205, introduction line 1-15; experimental line 1-13).

Applicant should note that conductivity is simply the reciprocal of its resistivity.

Regarding Claim 4, Harwig teaches that a value of 2.0×10^{-3} to $8.0 \times 10^{2} \Omega$ cm is obtained as the desired resistivity by adding a predetermined amount of group IV element (see fig. 1 on page 206, 1000ppm Zr; page 206, result section).

Regarding Claim 5, Harwig teaches that a carrier concentration of the Ga_2O_3 system single crystal is controlled to fall within a range of 5.5 × 10^{15} to 2.0 X 10^{19} Ω cm as a range of the desired resistivity (page 209, right col., line 17-22).

5. **Regarding Claim 6-7**, Harwig teaches that the predetermined dopant is a group II element (Mg; also applies to **claim 7**), which increases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal (page 205, introduction line 1-15; experimental line 1-13).

Applicant should note that conductivity is simply the reciprocal of its resistivity.

Regarding Claim 8, Harwig teaches that $1 \times 10^3 \Omega cm$ or more is obtained as the desired resistivity by adding a predetermined amount of group II element (see fig. 1 on page 206, 1000ppm Mg; page 207, result section).

Art Unit: 4176

Page 4

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. **Claim 1** is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 48 of copending Application No. 10/546,484. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter as claimed in the pending application in the instant office action are obvious variants of the noted claims of copending Application No. 10/546,484.

Claim 1 of the instant application	Claim 48 of copending Application No.
	10/546,484

Art Unit: 4176

A method of controlling a	A method for manufacturing a light-
conductivity of a Ga ₂ O ₃ system single	emitting device characterized by: forming
crystal, characterized in that:	a substrate made of a Ga ₂ O ₃ single
	crystal and exhibiting n-type conductivity;
	Adding an n-type dopant onto the
	insulation substrate to form a thin film
	exhibiting n-type conductivity;
A desired resistivity is obtained by	Adding an n-type dopant onto the
adding a predetermined dopant to	insulation substrate to form a thin film
the Ga ₂ O ₃ system single crystal.	exhibiting n-type conductivity;

Applicant should note that resistivity is simply the reciprocal of its conductivity.

Therefore, once the resistivity is obtained, then the conductivity is also obtained.

Applicant should also note that varying the dopant concentration controls resistivity and conductivity. Therefore based on claim 1 of the instant application, particularly reciting, "adding a predetermined dopant to the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal," is understood by one of the ordinary skill in the art that controlling a conductivity of a Ga₂O₃ is performed by adding/controlling the amount of dopant to Ga₂O₃ to obtain a desired resistivity. In similar way, although it is not explicitly say "controlling," claim 48 of the copending application discloses, particularly reciting, "adding an n-type dopant on the insulation substrate to form a thin film exhibiting n-type conductivity" is understood by one of the ordinary skill in the art that the amount of n-type dopant added onto the insulation substrate, which is Ga₂O₃ single crystal, controls the conductivity by obtaining its resistivity.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 4176

8. **Claims 2-8** are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 48 of copending Application No. 10/546,484 in view of Harwig.

Page 6

Claim 48 of copending Application No. 10/546,484 teaches every limitation of Claim 1 of the instant application as it was discussed above. However, Application No. 10/546,484 does not further disclose followings, which are disclosed by Harwig:

- Copending applications lacks disclosing that the predetermined dopant is a group IV element, which decreases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal.
 Harwig discloses that the predetermined dopant is a group IV element (Zr), which decreases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal (page 205, introduction line 1-15; experimental line 1-13).
- Copending applications lacks disclosing a resistivity value of 2.0 X 10⁻³ to 8.0 X 10² Ωcm by adding a predetermined amount of group IV element. Harwig discloses that a value of 2.0 X 10⁻³ to 8.0 X 10² Ωcm is obtained as the desired resistivity by adding a predetermined amount of group IV element (see fig. 1 on page 206, 1000ppm Zr; page 206, result section).
- Copending applications lacks disclosing a carrier concentration of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal is controlled to fall within a range of 5.5 × 10¹⁵ to 2.0 X 10¹⁹ Ωcm. Harwig discloses that a carrier concentration of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal is controlled to fall within a range of 5.5 × 10¹⁵ to 2.0 X 10¹⁹ Ωcm as a range of the desired resistivity (page 209, right col., line 17-22).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,852 Page 7

Art Unit: 4176

Copending applications lacks disclosing the predetermined dopant is a group II element, which increases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal.
 Harwig discloses that the predetermined dopant is a group II element (Mg), which increases a resistance of the Ga₂O₃ system single crystal (page 205, introduction line 1-15; experimental line 1-13).

Copending applications lacks disclosing a resistivity value of 1 X 10³ Ωcm or more by adding a predetermined amount of group II element. Harwig discloses 1 X 10³ Ωcm or more is obtained as the desired resistivity by adding a predetermined amount of group II element (see fig. 1 on page 206, 1000ppm Mg; page 207, result section).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to integrate the method of copending Application No. 10/546,484 with further detailed information discussed above by Harwig so as to observe and control the electrical conductivity of Ga₂O₃ single crystal at varying temperature.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ueda et al. "Synthesis and control of conductivity of ultraviolet transmitting β - Ga₂O₃ single crystals," Applied Physics Letters, 30 June 1997, Vol. 70, Issue 26, page 3561 to 3563.

Ichinose et al. (US Pub 2004/0007708 A1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Whalen whose telephone number is 517-270-3418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 4176

Page 9

Daniel Whalen

Kiesha L. Rose Primary Examiner 23 Oct 2007